UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:06-CV-428

5.00 C	V 420
TIMOTHY ERVIN JONES,)
Plaintiff,	ORDER AND NOTICE TO PRO SE
V.	PLAINTIFF OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION
JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC.,	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT)
Defendant,)
)

THIS MATTER is before the Court on its own motion following the filing of the "Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment" (Doc. No. 8) and "Memorandum in Support ..." (Doc. No. 9), both filed February 28, 2007.

In accordance with <u>Roseboro v. Garrison</u>, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), the Court advises the Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, of the heavy burden that he carries in responding to the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 56(e) provides:

When a motion for summary judgment is made and supported as provided in this rule, an adverse party may not rest upon the mere allegations or denials of the adverse party's pleading, but the adverse party's response, by affidavits or as otherwise provided in this rule, must set forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial. If the adverse party does not so respond, summary judgment, if appropriate, shall be entered against the adverse party.

This language means that if the Plaintiff has any evidence to offer to show that there is a genuine issue for trial, he must now present it to this Court in a form which would otherwise be admissible at trial, i.e., in the form of affidavits or unsworn declarations. An affidavit is a written statement

under oath; that is, a statement prepared in writing and sworn before a notary public. An unsworn

statement, made and signed under the penalty of perjury, may also be submitted. Affidavits or

statements must be presented by Plaintiff to this Court no later than September 28, 2007, and must

be filed in duplicate. As stated by Rule 56(e), the Plaintiff's failure to respond may result in the

Defendant being granted the relief it seeks by way of summary judgment, that is, the dismissal of the

Complaint with prejudice.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

1. The pro se Plaintiff shall have until September 28, 2007, to file her response, including

any evidence, to the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment.

2. The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order and Notice to counsel for the Defendant;

and to the pro se Plaintiff, that is, Timothy Ervin Jones, 4701 Hamilton Circle, Charlotte, NC 28216.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: August 30, 2007

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.

Chief United States District Judge